

Quantum-to-classical transition and imprints of wavefunction collapse in bouncing universes

D. Jaffino Stargen[†] and V. Sreenath[‡]

[†] Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India.

[‡] Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, U.S.A.

E-mail: jaffino@physics.iitm.ac.in, sreenath@lsu.edu

Abstract. Quantum perturbations produced in the early universe are a result of an interplay of quantum field theory and gravitation. Since these perturbations lead to anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background and then to inhomogeneities in the Large Scale Structure (LSS), this provides a unique opportunity to probe issues which are fundamental to our understanding of quantum physics and gravitation. One such fundamental issue is how exactly does the quantum perturbations produce something as classical as LSS. In other words, how does the quantum perturbations produced in the early universe turn classical as the universe evolves. In this work, we study certain aspects of this question in the context of tensor perturbations produced in bouncing universes. We investigate this issue mainly from two perspectives. Firstly, we approach this issue by studying the squeezing of a quantum state corresponding to the tensor perturbations using the Wigner function. Secondly, we analyze this issue from the perspective of the quantum measurement problem. In particular, we study the effects of wave function collapse, using a phenomenological model known as continuous spontaneous localization, on the tensor power spectra. We conclude with a discussion of results.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Quantization of tensor perturbations in the Schrödinger picture	3
3	Tensor modes and power spectrum in the matter bounce	5
4	Squeezing of quantum states associated with tensor modes in the matter bounce	6
5	CSL modified tensor modes and power spectrum in the matter bounce	11
5.1	CSL in brief	11
5.2	CSL modified tensor power spectrum	12
6	Tensor power spectrum in a generic bouncing model	13
6.1	Tensor modes and power spectrum	13
6.2	Effects of CSL	15
7	Discussion	17

1 Introduction

The universe as we understand today is largely explained by the Λ CDM model [1] together with inflation [2]. One of the major attractive features of inflation is that it produces primordial perturbations in the metric, which leads to the anisotropies in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and then to inhomogeneities in the Large Scale Structure (LSS). The nearly scale invariant power spectrum of primordial perturbations predicted by inflation has been corroborated by the state of the art observations of CMB by the Planck satellite [3]. Despite the fact that inflation is successful in explaining various issues faced by the hot big bang model, the issue of big bang singularity still remains to be addressed. Moreover, there are many inflationary models which can produce a nearly scale invariant power spectra which are compliant with observations. Hence it has been proved to be difficult to arrive at a viable model of inflation from the existing zoo of inflationary models [3]. Due to these reasons, it is important to consider alternatives to inflation, and one such alternative are bouncing scenarios.

In bouncing models the universe undergoes an initial phase of contraction, until the scale factor reaches a minimum value, and it undergoes expansion thereafter (for reviews, see Refs. [4–7]). The exact content of the universe which is responsible for the bounce is not well understood. However, it has been suggested that quantum gravitational effects can lead to a bounce (in this context, see for instance, Refs. [8, 9]). Like inflationary models, certain bouncing models can also provide a nearly scale invariant power spectra [10–13], as is demanded by observations [1, 3]. However, it is not clear whether bouncing scenario is more favorable over inflation.

Generation of primordial perturbations in the early universe, whether in a bouncing or in an inflationary scenario, is a result of an interplay of quantum and gravitational physics. Since it is these perturbations that lead to anisotropies in the CMB and inhomogeneities in

the LSS, it provides a unique window to probe fundamental issues pertaining to quantum and gravitational physics. One such issue of interest is the mechanism underlying the transition of quantum perturbations in the early universe to something as classical as LSS, in other words, the quantum-to-classical transition of primordial quantum perturbations.

In this work we approach the problem of quantum-to-classical transition from two perspectives. Firstly, we approach this issue by studying the squeezing of a quantum state corresponding to the tensor perturbations using the Wigner function [14–17]. It has been found that, in the context of inflation, the primordial quantum perturbations become strongly squeezed during the evolution of the universe [18, 19]. In a strongly squeezed state, the quantum vacuum expectation values are indistinguishable from the stochastic mean, if the perturbations are assumed to be realizations of a classical stochastic process [14–17].

In the second approach, we treat the issue from the perspective of a quantum measurement problem. The quantum measurement problem concerns the phenomenon by which a quantum state upon measurement collapses to one of the eigenstates of the observable under measurement. In the cosmological context, this problem translates to how the quantum state of the primordial perturbations collapsed into one of the eigenstates, namely the one corresponding to the CMB observed today. This problem is aggravated in the cosmological context due to the fact that there were no observers in the early universe to perform the measurement [20–23]. One of the proposals which addresses quantum measurement problem is the Continuous Spontaneous Localization (CSL) model [24–26]. The advantage of using the CSL model to study the quantum measurement problem in the context of cosmology is that in this model the wavefunction collapse happens without the presence of an observer. In the CSL model the Schrödinger equation is modified by adding non-linear and stochastic terms which suppress the quantum effects in the classical domain, and also reproduce the predictions of quantum mechanics in the quantum regime (for reviews, see Refs. [27, 28]). Therefore, the CSL model has a unified structure which encompasses the principles of quantum mechanics and classical mechanics. In the context of inflation, there have been attempts to understand the quantum measurement problem by employing the CSL model [20, 29].

Motivated by one of the earlier work in the context of inflation [20], we investigate the quantum-to-classical transition in the context of bouncing scenarios from the two perspectives described above.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we review the quantization of tensor perturbations in the Schrödinger picture and arrive at the wavefunction governing these perturbations. In Sec. 3, we describe the evolution of tensor perturbations in a matter bounce and discuss the tensor power spectrum. In Sec. 4, we discuss about the squeezing of the quantum state corresponding to the tensor modes while evolving through a matter bounce using Wigner function. Then in Sec. 5, we briefly discuss about the CSL mechanism, and study its imprints on the tensor power spectrum produced in a matter bounce. In Sec. 6, we discuss about the evolution of tensor perturbations in a more generic bounce and evaluate the corresponding tensor power spectrum. Then, we investigate the modification to this tensor power spectrum in the presence of CSL model. Finally, we conclude with a discussion of the results.

Note that we work with natural units wherein $\hbar = c = 1$, and the Planck mass is defined as $M_{\text{Pl}} = (8\pi G)^{-1/2}$. The overprime denotes differentiation with respect to the conformal time η .

2 Quantization of tensor perturbations in the Schrödinger picture

We consider a spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe which is described by the line element

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta) [d\eta^2 - \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j], \quad (2.1)$$

where $a(\eta)$ is the scale factor. Upon incorporating the tensor perturbations, the FLRW metric assumes the form

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta) [d\eta^2 - (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j], \quad (2.2)$$

where h_{ij} denotes the traceless and transverse tensor perturbations (*i.e.* $h_i^i = 0$ and $\partial_j h^{ij} = 0$).

The second order action governing the tensor perturbations h_{ij} can be written as [2]

$$\delta_2 S = \frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{8} \int d^4x \, a^2(\eta) [h'_{ij}{}^2 - (\partial h_{ij})^2]. \quad (2.3)$$

The tensor perturbations, upon Fourier decomposition, is

$$h_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{s=1}^2 \int d^3\mathbf{k} \, \varepsilon_{ij}^s(\mathbf{k}) \, h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\varepsilon_{ij}^r \varepsilon_{ij}^{s*} = \delta^{rs}$ [2]. In terms of the Fourier mode $h_{\mathbf{k}}$, the second order action can be expressed as [2]

$$\delta_2 S = \frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{4} \int d\eta \int d^3\mathbf{k} \, a^2(\eta) \left\{ h'_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) h_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\eta) - k^2 h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) h_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\eta) \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$. Since $h_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ is real, the integral over $\mathbf{k}$ runs over only half of the Fourier space.

It is often convenient to write the tensor modes in terms of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable $u_{\mathbf{k}}$ as $h_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv (\sqrt{2}/M_{\text{Pl}})(u_{\mathbf{k}}/a)$ [2]. In terms of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable, the second order action takes the form

$$\delta_2 S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\eta \int d^3\mathbf{k} \left\{ u'_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}^* + \left(\frac{a''}{a} - k^2 \right) u_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}^* \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

The Hamiltonian associated with the second order action can be found to be

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{k} \left\{ p_{\mathbf{k}} p_{\mathbf{k}}^* + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a} \right) u_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}^* \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $p_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the canonical momentum conjugate to $u_{\mathbf{k}}$.

To carry out the quantization procedure, it is convenient to deal with real variables [30]. Hence, we write the variables $u_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $p_{\mathbf{k}}$ as

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}} + i u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}), \quad p_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}} + i p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}), \quad (2.8)$$

where the superscripts R and I of a variable denote the real and imaginary parts of the corresponding variable. The Hamiltonian in terms of the new variables is given by

$$\mathbf{H} = \int d^3\mathbf{k} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^3\mathbf{k} (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} = \frac{1}{2}(p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(\eta, k)(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}})^2, \quad (2.10)$$

with $\omega^2(\eta, k) \equiv (k^2 - a''/a)$ [30]. It is evident from the structure of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}$ that each variable $u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ evolves independently like a parametric oscillator with time-dependent frequency $\omega(\eta, k)$. Therefore, the quantum state of the system $\Psi[u_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta, \mathbf{x})]$ can be written as a product of the wavefunctions of the individual modes $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(u_{\mathbf{k}})$ in the following form:

$$\Psi[u_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta, \mathbf{x})] = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}) = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}). \quad (2.11)$$

Quantization of tensor perturbations can be achieved by promoting the variables $u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ and $p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ to quantum operators which satisfy the following canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\text{R}}] = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \quad [\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\text{I}}] = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (2.12)$$

The Schrödinger equation describing the evolution of the quantum state corresponding to a mode $\mathbf{k}$ is

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Using the following representation for $\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ and $\hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$

$$\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\Psi = u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\Psi, \quad \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\Psi = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}}\Psi, \quad (2.14)$$

one can write the Hamiltonian density in Fourier space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}})^2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(\eta, k)(\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}})^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Solving the Schrödinger equation [Eq. (2.13)] we get

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}) = N_k(\eta)e^{-\Omega_k(\eta)(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}})^2}, \quad (2.16)$$

with $|N_k| = (2\Omega_k^{\text{R}}/\pi)^{1/4}$ and $\Omega_k = -(i/2)f'_k/f_k$ [30], where the function f_k satisfies the following differential equation

$$f_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)f_k = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

It is evident that we can obtain complete information about the wavefunction $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ from Eq. (2.16), once we know the tensor modes f_k . (Note that, since the equation governing f_k and $u_{\mathbf{k}}$ are same, we will often refer to f_k as the tensor mode.)

3 Tensor modes and power spectrum in the matter bounce

As mentioned in the introduction, we are interested in bouncing scenarios where the scale factor $a(\eta)$ is of the form,

$$a(\eta) = a_0 [1 + (\eta/\eta_0)^2]^p = a_0 [1 + (k_0\eta)^2]^p, \quad (3.1)$$

where a_0 is the minimum value of the scale factor at the bounce, p is a positive real number, and $\eta_0 = k_0^{-1}$ is the time scale associated with the bounce. It is clear from the form of the scale factor that the universe starts in a contracting phase when η is negative, reaches a minimum size at $\eta = 0$ and expands thereafter.

Before we discuss the case of evolution of the tensor modes in a bouncing universe characterized by an arbitrary value of p , it is instructive to consider the simpler case of $p = 1$. Hence, in this section we shall focus on the evolution of tensor perturbations through a bounce described by the scale factor $a(\eta) = a_0[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]$. Such a bounce is often referred to as a matter bounce, since, at early times far away from the bounce, the scale factor behaves in the same manner as in a matter dominated era, *i.e.* $a(\eta) \propto \eta^2$. The evolution of the tensor modes in such a matter bounce has been discussed before (see for instance, Refs. [31–34]). However, for the sake of completeness, we shall briefly present the essential derivation here.

In order to arrive at an expression for tensor modes, it is convenient to divide the contracting phase before the bounce into two domains. Let the time range $-\infty < \eta < -\alpha\eta_0$ be the first domain, which is far away from the bounce and corresponds to very early times, where the parameter α is a large number, say 10^5 . Since, in this domain, $\eta \ll \eta_0$, the scale factor approximately behaves as $a(\eta) \approx a_0(k_0\eta)^2$. Therefore, the differential equation describing the tensor modes in the first domain reduces to

$$f_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{2}{\eta^2}\right) f_k = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

If we assume that at very early times, the parametric oscillators corresponding to each mode are in their ground states [20], *i.e.* $\Omega_k = k/2$, then the tensor mode $f_k(\eta)$ in the limit $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ have to be in the Bunch-Davies vacuum, *i.e.*, $f_k(\eta) = e^{ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$ [35]. The Bunch-Davies initial condition can be imposed when $\eta \ll \eta_k$, where η_k is the time at which $k^2 = a''(\eta_k)/a(\eta_k)$, which corresponds to $\eta_k = -\sqrt{2}/k$. Upon solving Eq. (3.2), with the Bunch-Davies vacuum as the initial condition, the form of the tensor mode in the first domain can be obtained to be [31–34]

$$f_k^{(I)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 + \frac{i}{k\eta}\right) e^{ik\eta}. \quad (3.3)$$

If we assume that $k_0 = M_{Pl}$, it can be argued that the modes of cosmological interest are very small compared to k_0 , *i.e.*, k/k_0 is of the order of 10^{-30} to 10^{-25} . Hence, one can assume that $\eta_k \ll -\alpha\eta_0$, which implies $k \ll k_0/\alpha$. Therefore, in the second domain, *i.e.*, in the time range $-\alpha\eta_0 < \eta < 0$, one can approximate Eq. (2.17) to

$$f_k'' - \frac{a''}{a} f_k \approx 0. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to solve the above differential equation, it is convenient to rewrite it in terms of $h_k \equiv \sqrt{2}f_k/(M_{Pl} a)$ as

$$h_k'' + 2\frac{a'}{a}h_k' \approx 0. \quad (3.5)$$

This equation can be solved analytically to obtain the solution of the tensor modes in the second domain,

$$f_k^{(\text{II})}(\eta) = A_k(\eta) + B_k(\eta) g(k_0\eta), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^2} + \tan^{-1}(x), \quad (3.7)$$

$$A_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \frac{[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]}{\alpha^2} \left(1 - \frac{ik_0}{\alpha k}\right) e^{-i\alpha k/k_0} + B_k(\eta)g(\alpha), \quad (3.8)$$

$$B_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \frac{[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]}{2\alpha^2} (1 + \alpha^2)^2 \left(\frac{ik}{k_0} + \frac{3}{\alpha} - \frac{3ik_0}{\alpha^2 k}\right) e^{-i\alpha k/k_0}. \quad (3.9)$$

Since the approximations used in arriving at the solution of the tensor mode in the second domain are also valid soon after the bounce, the solution $f_k^{(\text{II})}(\eta)$ also describes the tensor modes in the third domain, *i.e.* $0 < \eta < \beta\eta_0$, where the parameter β is less than α , say, 10^2 [34].

It is assumed that after the third domain the universe will transit to a radiation dominated era. Hence, we evaluate the tensor power spectrum at the end of the third domain. The tensor power spectrum can be expressed in terms of the mode function $f_k(\eta)$ as

$$\mathcal{P}_T(k) = \frac{8}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{|f_k(\eta)|^2}{a^2(\eta)}. \quad (3.10)$$

As mentioned above, the tensor power spectrum is evaluated at the end of the third domain at $\eta = \beta/k_0$, and it is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_T(k) = \frac{8}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{|A_k(\beta/k_0) + B_k(\beta/k_0) g(\beta)|^2}{a^2(\beta/k_0)}. \quad (3.11)$$

The expression for the tensor power spectrum obtained above has been plotted in Fig. 1 for a given set of parameters. Note that the power spectrum is valid only for modes which satisfy the relation $k \ll k_0/\alpha$. It is clear that the power spectrum is not scale invariant in the range of k/k_0 where the condition $k \ll k_0/\alpha$ is not satisfied, because in this range the approximation breaks down.

4 Squeezing of quantum states associated with tensor modes in the matter bounce

Having discussed the evolution of tensor perturbations through a matter bounce, let us turn our attention to the concept of squeezing of the quantum state $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$ of a tensor mode. We follow the approach followed in the context of primordial inflationary perturbations [18, 20, 29, 36]. We know from the Sec. 2 that the wave function corresponding to a tensor mode is

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}) = \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}) = N_k(\eta) e^{-2\Omega_k(\eta)(u_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}^*)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $|N_k| = (2 \Omega_k^{\text{R}}/\pi)^{1/4}$, $\Omega_k = -(i/2)f'_k/f_k$ and f_k satisfies the differential equation (2.17). This second order linear differential equation can also be written as two coupled first order




Figure 1. The tensor power spectrum in the matter bounce ($p = 1$) has been plotted as a function of k/k_0 . We have set $k_0/M_{Pl} = 1$, $a_0 = 10^5$, $\alpha = 10^5$ and $\beta = 10^2$. Note that the power spectrum is scale invariant over the range of k where the modes satisfy the relation $k \ll k_0/\alpha$.

differential equations by redefining f_k as $f_k \equiv (\tilde{u}_k + \tilde{v}_k^*)/\sqrt{2k}$ [20]. The functions $\tilde{u}_k$ and $\tilde{v}_k$ satisfy the following coupled linear first order differential equations

$$\tilde{u}'_k = ik\tilde{u}_k + \frac{a'}{a}\tilde{v}_k^*, \quad \tilde{v}'_k = ik\tilde{v}_k + \frac{a'}{a}\tilde{u}_k^*. \quad (4.2)$$

The Wronskian W corresponding to the differential equation governing f_k is defined as $W \equiv f'_k f_k^* - f_k'^* f_k$. Using the differential equation describing the mode function $f_k(\eta)$ [Eq. (2.17)], it can be found that the Wronskian remains constant in time, i.e., $dW/d\eta = 0$. In terms of $\tilde{u}_k$ and $\tilde{v}_k$, it can be calculated to be $W = i(|\tilde{u}_k|^2 - |\tilde{v}_k|^2)$. Since the Wronskian is a conserved quantity, it can be chosen to be $W = i$ which allows one to parametrize the variables $\tilde{u}_k$ and $\tilde{v}_k$ as [20, 30]

$$\tilde{u}_k = e^{i\theta_k} \cosh(r_k), \quad \tilde{v}_k = e^{-i\theta_k + 2i\phi_k} \sinh(r_k), \quad (4.3)$$

where r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k are known as the squeezing parameter, the rotation angle and the squeezing angle, respectively. Substituting Eq. (4.3) in Eq. (4.2), one can arrive at a set of coupled differential equations which determine the behavior of these parameters with respect to η [20, 30]. The coupled differential equations involving the parameters r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k are given by

$$r'_k = \frac{a'}{a} \cos(2\phi_k), \quad \phi'_k = k - \frac{a'}{a} \coth(2r_k) \sin(2\phi_k), \quad \theta'_k = k - \frac{a'}{a} \tanh(r_k) \sin(2\phi_k). \quad (4.4)$$

Using the the expression for Ω_k , which is in terms of f_k , and Eq. (4.3), one can write Ω_k in terms of parameters r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k as [20]

$$\Omega_k = \frac{k}{2} \frac{1 + i \sin(2\phi_k) \sinh(2r_k)}{\cosh(2r_k) + \cos(2\phi_k) \sinh(2r_k)} - i \frac{a'}{2a}. \quad (4.5)$$

Squeezing of a quantum state can be understood conveniently by using the Wigner function, which is defined as

$$W(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, dy \quad \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^* \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}} - \frac{x}{2}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}} - \frac{y}{2} \right) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}} + \frac{x}{2}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}} + \frac{y}{2} \right) \\ \times e^{-i(p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}x + p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}y)}. \quad (4.6)$$

The Wigner function corresponding to the quantum state $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}})$ in Eq. (4.1) can be found to be [20]

$$W(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}) = \frac{|\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}})|^2}{2\pi \Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}} [p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}} + 2\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}})]^2 \right\} \\ \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}} [p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}} + 2\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}})]^2 \right\}. \quad (4.7)$$

It is evident from Eq. (4.5) that the knowledge of the parameters r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k implies the knowledge of Ω_k and, therefore, of the wavefunction and the Wigner function $W(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{I}})$. In order to understand the squeezing of quantum states associated with tensor modes, the coupled differential equations in Eq. (4.4) have to be solved for r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k . In the case of the matter bounce, the coupled differential equations governing the evolution of r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k become

$$r'_k = \frac{2k_0 (k_0\eta)}{[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]} \cos(2\phi_k), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\phi'_k = k - \frac{2k_0 (k_0\eta)}{[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]} \coth(2r_k) \sin(2\phi_k), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\theta'_k = k - \frac{2k_0 (k_0\eta)}{[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]} \tanh(r_k) \sin(2\phi_k). \quad (4.10)$$

Out of the three parameters r_k , θ_k and ϕ_k , the squeezing parameter r_k characterizes the squeezing of the quantum state $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta, u_{\mathbf{k}})$. Hence, it is relevant to analyze the behavior of r_k as the universe evolves.

It seems difficult to solve the above equations analytically, therefore, we solve the coupled differential equations numerically and analyze the behavior of the squeezing parameter r_k . Since the time variable η covers a large range of values, it is not an efficient variable for numerically solving the coupled differential equations. Therefore, it is convenient to work with a new variable known as e- $\mathcal{N}$ -folds, which is analogous to the variable called e-folds in inflation. The e- $\mathcal{N}$ -fold is defined as $a(\eta) = a_0 \exp(\mathcal{N}^2/2)$ [37]. The expression for the time variable η in terms of e- $\mathcal{N}$ -folds can be found by using the definition of e- $\mathcal{N}$ -folds and the expression for the scale factor corresponding to the matter bounce, and is given by

$$\eta(\mathcal{N}) = \pm k_0^{-1} \left(e^{\mathcal{N}^2/2} - 1 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.11)$$

with $\mathcal{N}$ being negative before the bounce, and positive after the bounce. Rewriting the coupled differential equations (4.8) to (4.10) in terms of the new variable e- $\mathcal{N}$ -folds, we get

$$\frac{dr_k}{d\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N} \cos(2\phi_k), \quad (4.12)$$

$$\frac{d\phi_k}{d\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{N}/2)(k/k_0) \frac{\exp(\mathcal{N}^2/2)}{[\exp(\mathcal{N}^2/2) - 1]^{1/2}} - \mathcal{N} \coth(2r_k) \sin(2\phi_k), \quad (4.13)$$

$$\frac{d\theta_k}{d\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{N}/2)(k/k_0) \frac{\exp(\mathcal{N}^2/2)}{[\exp(\mathcal{N}^2/2) - 1]^{1/2}} - \mathcal{N} \tanh(r_k) \sin(2\phi_k). \quad (4.14)$$




Figure 2. The squeezing parameter r_k has been plotted as a function of e- $\mathcal{N}$ -folds, for mode corresponding to $k/k_0 = 10^{-15}$. Since we begin with the Bunch-Davies initial condition at very early times the squeezing parameter r_k is close to zero. As the universe contracts, r_k increases till it reaches a maximum at the bounce. Then it decreases a little after the bounce, before the universe is assumed to enter the radiation dominated era.

We study the behavior of the squeezing parameter r_k by solving the coupled differential equations (4.12) and (4.13) numerically using *Mathematica* [38]. The squeezing parameter has been plotted as a function of e- $\mathcal{N}$ -folds in Fig. 2. It is evident from Fig. 2 that at very early times the squeezing parameter r_k is very close to zero. This is due to the fact that the initial condition we imposed on the tensor modes is the Bunch-Davies vacuum. As time increases, the parameter r_k also increases till it reaches a maximum value at the bounce, and then decreases a little after the bounce, before the universe is assumed to have become radiation dominated and other physics start becoming more relevant. This implies that the quantum state of the tensor perturbations gets squeezed as the universe evolves.




Figure 3. Squeezing of a quantum state which describes the tensor perturbations corresponding to a mode, as the mode evolves through the bounce is described using the Wigner function $W(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{I}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{I}})$. Out of the two independent sets of variables $(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}})$ and $(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{I}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{I}})$, we have chosen the set $(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}})$ to plot the behavior of $\ln[W(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{I}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{R}}, p_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{I}})]$. As it is clear from the plot, the quantum state corresponding to the mode starts in a Gaussian state at $\mathcal{N} = -13$ (top left). Soon it begins to get squeezed at $\mathcal{N} = -12.1$ (top right). Then at $\mathcal{N} = 0$, it gets squeezed about $u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ (bottom left). Further, it remains squeezed as indicated by the plot at $\mathcal{N} = 5$ (bottom right).

Similar conclusions can be drawn from the contour plots of the Wigner function shown in Fig. 3. It is clear from Fig. 3 that the vacuum state corresponding to the tensor perturbations start with a Gaussian state and as the universe evolves, the quantum state gets squeezed. As the universe approaches near the bounce, *i.e.* in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, the quantum state gets squeezed about $u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. In accordance with Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, squeezing of the quantum state about $u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ gives infinite possibilities of the momentum variable $p_{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence, a squeezed state is not strictly a classical state. However, it has been shown that in a strongly squeezed quantum state, the vacuum expectation values and the stochastic mean are indistinguishable, if the perturbations are assumed to be realizations of a classical stochastic process [14–17]. Hence, in this sense, one can argue that in the extreme squeezed limit the quantum state 'turns' classical.

5 CSL modified tensor modes and power spectrum in the matter bounce

As mentioned in the introduction, one can also view the transition of primordial quantum perturbations into classical LSS as a quantum measurement problem. That is, how does the quantum state of primordial perturbations collapsed into a particular eigenstate which corresponds to the realization of the CMB observed today. One of the proposals which addresses this issue is known as the CSL model [24–26]. The crucial advantage of this model is that a specific realization can be attained without the presence of an observer. In the rest of the manuscript we will focus on understanding the effects of CSL on the tensor perturbations in bouncing universes.

5.1 CSL in brief

The CSL model proposes a unified dynamical description which suppresses the quantum effects, such as superposition of states, in the macroscopic regime, and reproduces the predictions of quantum mechanics in the microscopic regime. In CSL, a unified dynamical description is achieved by modifying the Schrödinger equation. This modification is carried out by adding nonlinear terms and an extra stochastic behavior which is encoded through a Wiener process [24–26]. The modified Schrödinger equation encompasses an amplification mechanism which makes the new terms negligible in the quantum regime, hence retrieving the dynamics predicted by quantum mechanics. At the same time, it should make the new terms dominant in the classical regime, so that the classical-like behavior of the system is attained in the classical domain (for reviews, see Refs. [27, 28]). Although, it should be mentioned that, in the implementation of CSL for the case of primordial perturbations [20], the above said amplification mechanism does not exist. With the above said modifications taken into account, the modified Schrödinger equation is given by

$$d\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} = \left[-i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} d\eta + \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} - \langle \hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} \rangle \right) d\mathcal{W}_{\eta} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(\hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} - \langle \hat{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} \rangle \right)^2 d\eta \right] \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}, \quad (5.1)$$

where γ is the CSL parameter, which is a measure of the strength of the collapse and $\mathcal{W}_{\eta}$ denotes a real Wiener process, which is responsible for the stochastic behavior. If the CSL modified wavefunction $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}$ is assumed to be of the following form [20, 29, 39]

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} = |N_k(\eta)| \exp \left\{ -\Omega_k(\eta) \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} - \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} \right)^2 + i\chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}(\eta) u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} + i\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}(\eta) \right\}, \quad (5.2)$$

then the functions $\Omega_k(\eta)$, $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)$ have to satisfy the following set of differential equations

$$\Omega'_k = -2i\Omega_k^2 + \frac{i}{2}\omega^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\frac{N'_k}{N_k} = \Omega_k^{\text{I}}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\left(\bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} \right)' = \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2\Omega_k^{\text{R}}} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_{\eta}}{d\eta}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\left(\chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\right)' = -\omega^2 \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} - \frac{\Omega_k^{\text{I}}}{\Omega_k^{\text{R}}} \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_\eta}{d\eta}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\right)' = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(\bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}}\right)^2 - \Omega_k^{\text{R}} + \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\frac{\Omega_k^{\text{I}}}{\Omega_k^{\text{R}}}\right) \bar{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{R,I}} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_\eta}{d\eta}. \quad (5.7)$$

One can solve for the above stochastic differential equations in order to arrive at a complete understanding of the CSL modified wavefunction.

The CSL mechanism leads to imprints on the tensor power spectrum. In the following subsection, we evaluate the effects of CSL on the tensor power spectrum. It is evident from the previous discussion that to have a complete knowledge about the modified wavefunction, the stochastic differential equations (5.3) to (5.7) have to be solved. But, in order to evaluate the tensor power spectrum, it is enough to solve Eq. (5.3).

To solve the first order nonlinear differential equation in Eq. (5.3), let us substitute $\Omega_k = -(i/2)f'_k/f_k$, as done before in Sec. 2. We find that f_k now satisfies the differential equation [20, 30]

$$f_k'' + \left(k^2 - i\gamma - \frac{a''}{a}\right) f_k = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

It is evident from the above equation that at the level of the tensor modes $f_k(\eta)$, the effect of CSL is to replace k^2 by $k^2 - i\gamma$ in Eq. (2.17).

5.2 CSL modified tensor power spectrum

Since the CSL mechanism modifies the evolution of the tensor modes, it must leave imprints on the tensor power spectrum. Hence, in this section we focus on the evaluation of CSL modified tensor power spectrum in the matter bounce.

The tensor power spectrum expressed in terms of the tensor modes f_k is given by Eq. (3.10). In order to calculate the tensor power spectrum, we need to know the form of the tensor modes. Making use of the approximations [34] which we briefly discussed in Sec. 2, we solve Eq. (5.8) to arrive at the tensor modes $f_k(\eta)$. In the first domain, *i.e.* in the time range $-\infty < \eta < -\alpha\eta_0$, the scale factor $a(\eta)$ behaves as $a(\eta) \approx a_0(k_0\eta)^2$. In this domain, upon imposing the Bunch-Davies initial condition (see Ref. [20] for a discussion about the Bunch-Davies initial condition for the case of CSL modified tensor modes), Eq. (5.8) can be solved to find the tensor mode function as

$$f_k^{(\text{I})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2z_k k}} \left(1 + \frac{i}{z_k k \eta}\right) e^{iz_k k \eta}, \quad (5.9)$$

where $z_k = (1 - i\gamma/k^2)^{1/2}$.

In the second domain, *i.e.* in the time range $-\alpha\eta_0 < \eta < 0$, the term a''/a in Eq. (5.8) goes as $a''/a = 2k_0^2 + O(\eta^2/\eta_0^2)$. Since the modes of cosmological interest are very small compared to k_0 , and if the CSL parameter γ is assumed to be very small compared to k_0^2 , then Eq. (5.8) can be approximated to

$$f_k'' - \frac{a''}{a} f_k \approx 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Integrating the above equation yields

$$f_k^{(\text{II})} = A_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) + B_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) g(k_0\eta), \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^2} + \tan^{-1}(x), \quad (5.12)$$

$$A_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2z_k k}} \frac{[1 + (k_0 \eta)^2]}{\alpha^2} \left(1 - \frac{ik_0}{\alpha z_k k}\right) \exp(-i\alpha z_k k/k_0) + B_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) g(\alpha), \quad (5.13)$$

$$B_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2z_k k}} \frac{[1 + (k_0 \eta)^2]}{2\alpha^2} (1 + \alpha^2)^2 \left(\frac{iz_k k}{k_0} + \frac{3}{\alpha} - \frac{3ik_0}{\alpha^2 z_k k}\right) \exp(-i\alpha z_k k/k_0). \quad (5.14)$$

We evaluate the tensor power spectrum immediately after the bounce, *i.e.* at $\eta = \beta/k_0$ (with $\beta = 10^2$). It is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_T^{(\gamma)}(k) = \frac{8}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{|A_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) + B_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) g(k_0 \eta)|^2}{a^2(\beta/k_0)}. \quad (5.15)$$

In Fig. 4 we have plotted logarithm of the ratio of the CSL modified power spectrum to the unmodified power spectrum, *i.e.* $\log[\mathcal{P}_T^{(\gamma)}(k)/\mathcal{P}_T(k)]$, as a function of k/k_0 , for parameters $\alpha = 10^5$, $\beta = 10^2$ and for different choices of γ/k_0^2 . It is evident from the figure that, just as in the case of inflation [20], the effect of CSL on the power spectrum in the matter bounce is to suppress its power at large scales. We find that the power spectrum behaves as k^3 in its suppressed part, as observed in the case of inflation [20]. We also note that, larger the value of the dimensionless parameter γ/k_0^2 , smaller is the scale at which the power in the power spectrum gets suppressed. Since, the scales of cosmological interest lie in the range $k/k_0 \sim 10^{-30} - 10^{-25}$, it would imply that for a nearly scale invariant power spectrum, the value of the dimensionless parameter γ/k_0^2 gets constrained as $\gamma/k_0^2 \leq 10^{-60}$, see Fig. 4.

6 Tensor power spectrum in a generic bouncing model

Until this section, our discussions were focussed on a particular case of bounce referred to as matter bounce described by the scale factor given by Eq. (3.1), with $p = 1$. In this section we turn our attention to a more generic case where p can now be any positive real number. In order to study the tensor power spectrum in these models, our approach would be the same as in previous sections, namely to solve Eq. (2.17) for f_k and then evaluate the power spectrum using Eq. (3.10).

6.1 Tensor modes and power spectrum

Following the discussion in Sec. 2, we find the tensor modes $f_k(\eta)$ by splitting the time range η into two different domains. In the first domain, *i.e.*, in the time range $-\infty < \eta < -\alpha\eta_0$, with $\alpha = 10^5$, the scale factor goes as $a(\eta) \approx a_0(k_0\eta)^{2p}$ [34]. Given this approximate behavior of the scale factor $a(\eta)$, the differential equation in Eq. (2.17) becomes

$$f_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{2p(2p-1)}{\eta^2}\right) f_k = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

This differential equation can be solved to express $f_k(\eta)$ in terms of Bessel functions [40]. Solving the above equation after imposing the Bunch Davies initial condition [35], *i.e.*, $f_k(\eta) = e^{ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$ at very early times, we get

$$f_k^{(1)}(\eta) = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{e^{-i\pi p}}{\sin(n\pi)} (-k\eta)^{1/2} [J_{-n}(-k\eta) - e^{in\pi} J_n(-k\eta)], \quad (6.2)$$




Figure 4. Logarithm of the ratio of the CSL modified tensor power spectrum to the standard power spectrum has been plotted as a function of k/k_0 for a matter bounce ($p = 1$). We have set $\alpha = 10^5$ and $\beta = 10^2$. The red, green and blue colored lines correspond to γ/k_0^2 of 10^{-60} , 10^{-50} and 10^{-40} respectively. Note that the introduction of a CSL parameter γ leads to a suppression of power in the power spectrum at large scales. In the suppressed part of the power spectrum, the power spectrum behaves as k^3 , which is similar to what was observed for the case of inflation [20].

where $n = 2p - 1/2$, and $J_n(z)$ is the Bessel function of first kind [40].

Following the same arguments which were made in Sec. 2, in the second domain, i.e., in the time range $-\alpha\eta_0 \ll \eta \ll 0$, the differential equation describing the tensor modes [Eq. (2.17)] can be approximated to

$$f_k'' - \frac{a''}{a} f_k \approx 0. \quad (6.3)$$

Integrating the above equation yields tensor modes in the second domain, for any arbitrary value of the parameter p , as shown below

$$f_k^{(\text{II})} = C_k(\eta) + D_k(\eta) \tilde{g}(k_0\eta), \quad (6.4)$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(x) = x {}_2F_1[2p, 1/2; 3/2; -x^2], \quad (6.5)$$

$$C_k(\eta) = \frac{i[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]^p}{2\alpha^n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k_0}} \frac{e^{-i\pi p}}{\sin(n\pi)} \\ \times [J_{-n}(\alpha k/k_0) - e^{i\pi n} J_n(\alpha k/k_0)] + D_k(\eta) \tilde{g}(\alpha), \quad (6.6)$$

$$D_k(\eta) = -\frac{i[1 + (k_0\eta)^2]^p}{2\alpha^n} \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k_0}} \frac{e^{-i\pi p}}{\sin(n\pi)} (1 + \alpha^2)^{2p} \\ \times [J_{-(n+1)}(\alpha k/k_0) + e^{in\pi} J_{n+1}(\alpha k/k_0)], \quad (6.7)$$

where ${}_2F_1[a, b; c; z]$ is the hypergeometric function [40]. Using the expression in Eq. (3.10) we find the tensor power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_T(k)$ evaluated after the bounce, at $\eta = \beta/k_0$, to be

$$\mathcal{P}_T(k) = \frac{8}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{|C_k(\beta/k_0) + D_k(\beta/k_0) \tilde{g}(\beta)|^2}{a^2(\beta/k_0)}. \quad (6.8)$$

In Fig. 5 we have plotted the tensor power spectrum for $p = 1, 1.001$ and 1.002 as a function of k/k_0 for the same set of parameters as of Fig. 1. As expected, the effect of varying p from $p = 1$ is to introduce a tilt to the tensor power spectrum.




Figure 5. The tensor power spectra for bouncing models corresponding to $p = 1$ (in red), $p = 1.001$ (in green) and $p = 1.002$ (in blue) have been plotted as a function of k/k_0 . We have set $k_0/M_{\text{Pl}} = 1$, $a_0 = 10^5$, $\alpha = 10^5$ and $\beta = 10^2$. Note that, the effect of changing the index p from one is to introduce a tilt in the power spectrum.

6.2 Effects of CSL

We are now ready to compute the effect of CSL mechanism on the tensor power spectrum in a more generic bouncing scenario. In order to calculate the tensor power spectrum, we solve the differential equation Eq. (5.8) governing f_k in the presence of CSL mechanism. As discussed before, the effect of CSL mechanism is to replace k^2 in Eq. (2.17) by $k^2 - i\gamma$. Hence,

all of the arguments employed in deriving the power spectrum in the previous section can be applied here, modulo the fact that k^2 will be replaced by $k^2 - i\gamma$.

Hence, the CSL modified tensor mode in the first domain is

$$f_k^{(I)}(\eta) = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{z_k k}} \frac{e^{-i\pi p}}{\sin(n\pi)(-z_k k \eta)^{-1/2}} [J_{-n}(-z_k k \eta) - e^{in\pi} J_n(-z_k k \eta)], \quad (6.9)$$

where $n = 2p - 1/2$, $z_k = (1 - i\gamma/k^2)^{1/2}$, and $J_n(z)$ is the Bessel function of first kind [40]. Similarly, in the second domain, the CSL modified tensor mode can be found to be

$$f_k^{(II)} = C_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) + D_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) \tilde{g}(k_0 \eta), \quad (6.10)$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(x) = x {}_2F_1[2p, 1/2; 3/2; -x^2], \quad (6.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) &= \frac{i[1 + (k_0 \eta)^2]^p}{2\alpha^n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k_0}} \frac{e^{-i\pi p}}{\sin(n\pi)} \\ &\times [J_{-n}(\alpha z_k k/k_0) - e^{in\pi} J_n(\alpha z_k k/k_0)] + D_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) \tilde{g}(\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) &= -\frac{i[1 + (k_0 \eta)^2]^p}{2\alpha^n} \left(\frac{z_k k}{k_0} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k_0}} \frac{e^{-i\pi p}}{\sin(n\pi)} (1 + \alpha^2)^{2p} \\ &\times [J_{-(n+1)}(\alpha z_k k/k_0) + e^{in\pi} J_{n+1}(\alpha z_k k/k_0)], \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

where ${}_2F_1[a, b; c; z]$ is the hypergeometric function [40].

The tensor modes obtained above can be substituted in Eq. (3.10) to obtain the CSL modified tensor power spectrum as

$$\mathcal{P}_T^{(\gamma)}(k) = \frac{8}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{|C_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) + D_k^{(\gamma)}(\eta) \tilde{g}(k_0 \eta)|^2}{a^2(\eta)}. \quad (6.14)$$

As before, we evaluate the tensor power spectrum after the bounce at $\eta = \beta/k_0$, and is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_T^{(\gamma)}(k) = \frac{8}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{|C_k^{(\gamma)}(\beta/k_0) + D_k^{(\gamma)}(\beta/k_0) \tilde{g}(\beta)|^2}{a^2(\beta/k_0)}. \quad (6.15)$$

Using the above expression, we have plotted the logarithm of the ratio of CSL modified tensor power spectrum to the unmodified power spectrum, *i.e.* $\log[\mathcal{P}_T^{(\gamma)}(k)/\mathcal{P}_T(k)]$, corresponding to $p = 1.001$ and 1.002 as a function of k/k_0 in Fig. 6, for various values of γ/k_0^2 . As observed in the case of matter bounce in Sec. 5.2, CSL leads to a suppression of the power spectrum, with the suppressed part of the power spectrum behaving as k^3 . Further more, we observe that larger the value of the dimensionless ratio γ/k_0^2 , smaller are the scales at which suppression happens. This would imply that for a nearly scale invariant power spectrum at cosmological scales, the value of dimensionless ratio γ/k_0^2 can be constrained as $\gamma/k_0^2 \leq 10^{-60}$.




Figure 6. The figure illustrates the behavior of $\log[\mathcal{P}_T^{(\gamma)}(k)/\mathcal{P}_T(k)]$, as a function of k/k_0 for a bounce with scale factor defined by an index $p = 1.001$ (solid line) and for $p = 1.002$ (dots). As in previous plots, we have set $\alpha = 10^5$ and $\beta = 10^2$. Curves colored in red, green and blue correspond to γ/k_0^2 of 10^{-60} , 10^{-50} and 10^{-40} respectively. This figure clearly shows that CSL mechanism leads to a suppression of power regardless of the value of p .

7 Discussion

Generation of quantum perturbations in the early universe and their evolution which leads to anisotropies in the CMB and inhomogeneities in the LSS has opened up an avenue to investigate problems fundamental to our understanding of physics. One such fundamental issue is the mechanism by which the quantum state of perturbations led to the formation of something as classical as LSS. In this work we have investigated the quantum-to-classical transition of primordial quantum perturbations in the context of bouncing universes. Following the footsteps of an earlier work [20], we have approached this issue from two perspectives.

In the first approach, we investigated the squeezing of the quantum state corresponding to a tensor mode as it evolves through the matter bounce. Upon studying the squeezing parameter, we found that the quantum state corresponding to the tensor mode got squeezed as the universe evolves in the contracting phase. The squeezing parameter was maximum at the bounce and then it decreases a little before the universe transits to a radiation dominated era. Unlike the matter bounce, the squeezing parameter in inflation increases monotonically with time [20] and hence it attains a larger value. An analysis of the squeezing of the quantum state using the Wigner function shows that, at the bounce the Wigner function gets squeezed about $u_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$, allowing infinite possibilities of momenta $p_{\mathbf{k}}$, which is in accordance with Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. This implies that the state of the system is still very much described by quantum physics. However, it is known that in a strongly squeezed quantum state, the vacuum expectation values and the stochastic mean are indistinguishable,

if the perturbations are assumed to be realizations of a classical stochastic process. Hence, in this limited sense, one can say that the quantum state of the system will appear classical.

Secondly, we approached this issue as a quantum measurement problem set in the cosmological context. That is, how did the quantum state of perturbations collapsed in to one of its eigenstates which correspond to the specific realization of the CMB that we observe today. One model which have been proposed to address this issue is the CSL model. In the CSL model, the Schrödinger equation is modified by introducing nonlinear and stochastic terms, thereby making the wavefunction collapse a dynamical process. We investigated the imprints of such a wavefunction collapse affected by CSL on the tensor power spectra produced in bouncing universes. We found that the effect of CSL on tensor power spectra is to introduce a suppression in power at large scales. In the suppressed regime, the power spectra behaves as k^3 . Moreover, we find that larger the value of the dimensionless ratio γ/k_0^2 , the smaller the scales at which the cutoff happens. If we assume $k_0 = M_{Pl}$, the scales of cosmological interest in a bouncing universe lie in the range $k/k_0 \sim 10^{-30} - 10^{-25}$. This would imply that, for a nearly scale invariant tensor power spectrum, the constraint on the dimensionless ratio γ/k_0^2 is $\gamma/k_0^2 \leq 10^{-60}$.

The issue of quantum-to-classical transition of primordial perturbations is yet to be understood satisfactorily. We hope that the exercises, such as the one presented here, will help in gaining a better understanding of the issue.

Note added: As we were preparing this manuscript we became aware of the preprint [41] where the authors study the effect of CSL on the scalar and tensor power spectra in the context of inflation and quasi-matter contracting universe. While the approach is partially similar, we find that the power spectra modified by the CSL mechanism that we obtain is different from the corresponding spectra arrived at by these authors.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. L. Sriramkumar for valuable discussions and collaborations on this work. We would also like to thank Ms. Debika Chowdhury for her comments on the manuscript.

References

- [1] P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **78**, 1 (1984); V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. **215**, 203 (1992); J. E. Lidsey, A. Liddle, E. W. Kolb, E. J. Copeland, T. Barreiro and M. Abney, Rev. Mod. Phys. **69**, 373 (1997); A. Riotto, arXiv:hep-ph/0210162; W. H. Kinney, astro-ph/0301448; J. Martin, Lect. Notes Phys. **669**, 199 (2005); J. Martin, Braz. J. Phys. **34**, 1307 (2004); B. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa and D. Wands, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 537 (2006); W. H. Kinney, arXiv:0902.1529 [astro-ph.CO]; L. Sriramkumar, Curr. Sci. **97**, 868 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4584 [astro-ph.CO]]; D. Baumann, arXiv:0907.5424v1 [hep-th].
- [3] P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO].
- [4] R. H. Brandenberger, arXiv:1206.4196 [astro-ph.CO].
- [5] D. Battfeld and P. Peter, Phys. Rept. **571**, 1 (2015).
- [6] R. Brandenberger and P. Peter, arXiv:1603.05834 [hep-th].
- [7] M. Novello and S.E.P. Bergliaffa, Phys. Rept. **463**, 127 (2008).

- [8] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 21 (2011).
- [9] I. Agullo and A. Corichi, arXiv:1302.3833 [gr-qc].
- [10] F. Finelli and R. Brandenberger, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103522 (2002).
- [11] P. Peter and N. Pinto-Neto, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 063509 (2002).
- [12] P. Creminelli and L. Senatore, *JCAP* **11**, 010 (2007).
- [13] A. M. Levy, A. Ijjas and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 063524 (2015).
- [14] D. Polarski, A. A. Starobinsky, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13**, 377 (1996).
- [15] C. Kiefer, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **88**, 255 (2000).
- [16] C. Kiefer, D. Polarski, and A. A. Starobinsky, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **7**, 455 (1998).
- [17] J. Lesgourgues, D. Polarski, and A.A. Starobinsky, *Nucl. Phys. B* **497**, 479 (1997).
- [18] L. Grishchuk and Y. Sidorov, *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 3413 (1990).
- [19] L. Grishchuk, H. Haus, and K. Bergman, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 1440 (1992).
- [20] J. Martin, V. Vennin and P. Peter, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 103524 (2012).
- [21] A. Diez-Tejedor, G. Leon, and D. Sudarsky, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **44**, 2965 (2011).
- [22] A. Perez, H. Sahlmann, and D. Sudarsky, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 2317 (2006).
- [23] A. De Unanue and D. Sudarsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 043510 (2008).
- [24] G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini and T. Weber, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 470 (1986).
- [25] G. C. Ghirardi, P. M. Pearle, and A. Rimini, *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 78 (1990).
- [26] P. M. Pearle, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 2277 (1989).
- [27] A. Bassi and G.C. Ghirardi, *Phys. Rept.* **379**, 257 (2003).
- [28] A. Bassi, K. Lochan, S. Satin, T.P. Singh, and H. Ulbricht, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 471 (2013).
- [29] S. Das, K. Lochan, S. Sahu and T. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 085020 (2013).
- [30] J. Martin, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **738**, 193 (2008).
- [31] A. A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **30**, 682 (1979). [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 30,719(1979)].
- [32] D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 023507 (1999).
- [33] F. Finelli and R. Brandenberger, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103522 (2002).
- [34] D. Chowdhury, V. Sreenath and L. Sriramkumar, *JCAP* **11**, 002 (2015).
- [35] T. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **360**, 117 (1978).
- [36] A. Albrecht, P. Ferreira, M. Joyce and T. Prokopec, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 4807 (1994).
- [37] L. Sriramkumar, K. Atmjeet, and R. K. Jain, *JCAP* **09**, 010 (2015).
- [38] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 8.0. Champaign, IL, 2010.
- [39] A. Bassi, *J. Phys. G* **38**, 3173 (2005).
- [40] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, New York, 7th ed., 2007.
- [41] G. Leon, G. R. Bengochea and S. J. Landau, arXiv:1605.03632 [gr-qc].